

DEVELOPMENT OF RESIDENTIAL FLOOD
DEPTH-DAMAGE CURVE FOR KUANTAN,
PAHANG

NUR IZYAN HANI BINTI MOHD RAZAKI

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR IZYAN HANI BINTI MOHD RAZAKI

ID Number : AA14229

Date : 25 June 2018

DEVELOPMENT OF RESIDENTIAL FLOOD DEPTH-DAMAGE CURVE FOR
KUANTAN, PAHANG

NUR IZYAN HANI BINTI MOHD RAZAKI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

*Specially dedicated to
my beloved late brother,
Mohd Hanis bin Mohd Razaki
who have died from
car accident during my first
year degree journey (2015).
This is for you, Brother.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the people who contributed in some way to complete this thesis. First and foremost, I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my beloved supervisor, Mdm Noor Suraya Binti Romali for her continuous guidance, encouragement, critics, advices and motivations.

My wholehearted appreciation also dedicated to my family who supported me endlessly and unfailing love throughout my degree journey.

I am also indebted to my beloved best friend, Najwalhuda Najiruddin who was always there during ups and downs all these days.

Thank you very much.

ABSTRAK

Dalam tahun kebelakangan ini, banjir sering berlaku dan meninggalkan kesan yang teruk di kawasan banjir. Oleh itu, ia merupakan satu isu penting bagi setiap negara untuk mencegah dan mengurangkan kadar kerosakan banjir. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kerosakan banjir secara langsung yang telah dialami oleh mangsa banjir pada tahun 2013 di Kuantan dan mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi tahap kerosakan banjir. Kadar kedalaman banjir di kediaman dibangunkan berdasarkan data kerosakan yang dikumpulkan semasa tinjauan temu bual. Peratusan kerosakan dikira dengan membahagikan kerosakan struktur atau kandungan anggaran dengan nilai kerosakan sebenar. Mengikut jenis bahan binaan, kerosakan struktur secara purata adalah RM2642, RM2250 dan RM2120 masing-masing untuk bata, kayu dan bata dan rumah kayu. Kerosakan kandungan isi rumah untuk semua jenis bahan adalah lebih tinggi antara RM 4104 hingga RM 4556 bagi setiap harta benda. Kebanyakan rumah adalah satu rumah teres dengan purata kerosakan sebanyak RM 2250 bagi setiap harta tanah. Daripada analisis SPSS, kadar kerosakan dipengaruhi oleh harga rumah, bahan binaan dan pendapatan isi rumah. Dengan nilai R^2 dari 0.98 dan 0.82 untuk kandungan dan kerosakan struktur masing-masing, lengkung kerosakan kedalaman banjir yang diperolehi dalam kajian ini adalah cukup baik dan boleh digunakan untuk kajian masa depan mengenai penilaian risiko banjir di kawasan kajian.

ABSTRACT

In recent years floods occur frequently and cause severe impacts to the flooded areas. It is consequently an important issue of many countries to prevent and mitigate flood damage. The aim of this study is to assess the direct tangible flood damage experienced by the victims of 2013 Kuantan flood and to identify the factors that influence the level of flood damage. A residential flood depth-damage curve is developed based on the damage data collected during interview survey. The percentage of damage is calculated by dividing the estimated structural/content damage with the actual damage value. According to the types of construction materials, the average structural damage is RM2642, RM2250 and RM2120 for brick, wood and brick and wood house respectively. The content damage for all types of materials is higher which is between RM 4104 to RM 4556 per property. Most of the houses are one storey terrace house with average value of damage is RM 2250 per property. From the SPSS analysis, the rate of damage was influenced by house's price, construction materials and household income. With R^2 of 0.98 and 0.82 for content and structural damage respectively, the flood depth-damage curves obtained in this study is good enough and can be used for future studies on flood risk assessment of the study area.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **viii**

LIST OF FIGURES **ix**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **x**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xi**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Problem Statement 2

1.3 Objective of the study 3

1.4 Scope and limitation of study 3

1.5 Significant of study 3

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **4**

2.1 Introduction 4

2.2 Flood 5

2.2.1 Groundwater 5

2.2.2 Fluvial 6

2.2.3	Pluvial	6
2.2.4	Coastal	6
2.3	Flood risk assessment	9
2.3.1	Hazard assessment	9
2.3.2	Vulnerability assessment	9
2.4	Flood damage assessment	11
2.4.1	Flood damage classes	11
2.4.1.1	Direct and indirect damages	12
2.4.1.2	Tangible and intangible damages	14
2.4.2	Estimation approaches	16
2.4.2.1	Direct estimation	16
2.4.2.2	Modeling approaches	17
2.5	Flood depth-damage curve	18
2.5.1	Approaches and methods	18
2.5.1.1	Existing database and valuation survey	19
2.5.1.2	Synthetic method	20
2.6	Conclusion	21
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		22
3.1	Introduction	22
3.2	Flow chart of methodology study	22
3.3	Questionnaire design	23
3.4	Data collection	25
3.5	Development of flood depth-damage curve	26
3.6	Development of multiple regression equation	27
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		29

4.1	Introduction	29
4.2	Multiple regression analysis	34
4.3	Flood depth-damage curves	36
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		37
5.1	Introduction	37
5.2	Conclusion	37
5.3	Recommendations	38
REFERENCES		39
APPENDIX A SAMPLE APPENDIX 1		44

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Diffrence between economic and financial damage assessment	11
Table 2.2	Damage classes	12
Table 3.1	Details of questionnaire survey	24
Table 4.1	Distribution of damage according to construction materials	33
Table 4.2	Distribution of distance from river	33
Table 4.3	Results of multiple regression analysis	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Framework for flood risk	5
Figure 3.1	Study area	22
Figure 3.2	Flow chart of methodology study	23
Figure 3.3	Interview survey session	25
Figure 3.4	Taking the height of flood	26
Figure 4.1	Age distribution of the respondents	29
Figure 4.2	Sex distribution of the respondents	30
Figure 4.3	Income distribution of the respondents	30
Figure 4.4	Occupation distribution of the respondents	31
Figure 4.5	Single storey terrace house at Kg Sg Soi	31
Figure 4.6	Single storey terrace house at Kg Sg Isap	32
Figure 4.7	Single storey terrace house at Kg Permatang Badak	32
Figure 4.8	Flood depth-damage curve for residential area for Kuantan	36

LIST OF SYMBOLS

R^2	Coefficient of determination
-------	------------------------------

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MOLAND	Monitoring Land Use/ Cover Dynamics
1D	1 Dimensional
2D	2 Dimensional
3D	3 Dimensional
GIS	Geographical Information System
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Floods are naturally occurring phenomena that can and do happen almost anywhere. In its most basic form, a flood is an accumulation of water over normally dry areas. Floods become hazardous to people and property when they inundate an area where development has occurred, causing losses. Mild flood may have some impact on people or property, such as damage to the landscape or resulting in undesirable debris. While, severe flooding can often destroy buildings, crops, and can also cause severe injury or maybe death (FEMA, 2008).

Flood risk assessment is the systematic approach to identify how flooding impacts the environment. In hazard mitigation planning, flood risk assessments are the basis for mitigation strategies and actions by defining the hazard and enabling informed decision making. The danger of flooding can't be basically distinguished by knowing where the flood happens. The most well-known strategy for deciding flood risk are hazard and vulnerability assessment (FEMA, 2008).

Flood damage assessment is a basic segment of flood risk mitigation. To assess measures that can be taken to moderate the harms from flooding, evaluation of harms must be led utilizing direct assessment or modelling approach. Without clear and reproducible flood damage assessment, analysis is extremely troublesome, and the administration of hazard is less dependable (FEMA, 2008).

The estimation of damages caused by floods usually focus on the flood depths. That is why the flood depth-damage curves have been used in several locations around the world as the most commonly used method for assessing the impact of floods (Merz et al., 2010). The flood depth-damage curves can also be classified as absolute or

relative, depending if the cost is given in economic terms or as a percentage of the total value of the asset that has been affected. There are two factors influencing the damage that are the impact parameters and the resistance parameters. The impact parameter reflects the specific characteristics of a flood event (such as water depth, flow velocity, etc.) and for the resistance parameters represent the properties of the affected assets (such as building type or materials, emergency measures used, etc.) (Merz et al., 2010). It has been concluded that, with the exception of flood depth, most of the influencing factors that have been affected have been neglected in the modelling of the damage (Merz et al., 2010).

A flood risk approach seems to have significant potential in reducing flood impacts. However, the implementation of this method is still new and lack of available literatures regarding to it, especially on the derivation of flood damage function curve. Hence, with the aim to assist in the assessment of flood risk in Malaysia, it is compelling to carry out a study on the development of site-specific damage curve that reflects the local condition of the study area.

1.2 Problem Statement

Floods often cause significant losses to people and properties. The expected losses in residential area are lower than commercial or industrial area, hence the damage estimation is often neglected or only accounted for by using simple approaches and rough estimates (Merz et al., 2010). However, due to the higher concentration of population and asset nowadays, the vulnerability of residential area is increased, thus needed a detail damage assessment that can also be used to predict future flood effects (Diakakis et al., 2017).

Many previous researchers such as Herath (2003) and Chinh (2017) used modelling approach to estimate flood damage compared to direct assessment. Assessing damage using direct method is time-consuming and costly. Furthermore, the assessment was based on the respective flood event only. In contrast, the modelling approach can be used for any flood event as the depth-damage curve is expressed in percentage (Oliveri and Sontoro, 2000). Using our own damage curve is appropriate to reflect the effect of local condition to damage estimates. Hence, an attempt has been made to

conduct a study on flood damage assessment in an urban area where a site-specific flood depth-damage curve was produced.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The objective of this study are:

- i. To assess the direct residential flood damage of 2013 Kuantan flood and identify the factors that influenced the level of flood damage.
- ii. To develop a residential flood depth-damage curve for Kuantan based on 2013 flood event.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study

In order to conduct this research study, the data from the interview survey was collected from residential area of Kuantan, Pahang. Kuantan is one of the big flooded city that has been affected during 2013. The focus of this research is to get the estimation of direct tangible damage which included the damage caused by direct contact with water, and contains most of the insurable losses. Flood depth-damage curve is the plot of flood damage versus corresponding flood parameters. This study only considers flood depth as the flood parameter.

1.5 Significant of Study

Flood depth-damage curve is the important element in the assessing of flood damage. The depth-damage curve is used as the input in flood damage modelling. The developed damage curve may be applied to the further flood damage estimation works of Kuantan. The identification of socio-demographic or other related factors that influenced the level of flood damage is useful for future planning of damage assessment studies in Kuantan.

REFERENCES

- Alkema, D. (2007). Simulating floods: On the application of a 2D hydraulic model for flood hazard and risk assessment. ITC Dissertation;147. Enschede, ITC: 198.
- Apel, H., Merz, B., and Thielen, A. H. (2008). Quantification of uncertainties in flood risk assessments, *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 6, pp. 149–162.
- Box, P., Thomalla, F., & Van Den H. R. (2013). Flood risk in Australia: Whose responsibility is it, anyway? *Water*, 5, pp. 1580–1597. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/w5041580>.
- Bubeck, P. (2007). Flood damage evaluation memo: Flood Damage Evaluation Methods, pp. 1–16. Available at: <http://edepot.wur.nl/304195>.
- Brunner, G.W., (2016). HEC-RES river analysis system - *User's Manual Version 5.0.US Army Corps of Engineers*. Institute for Water Resources, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC), 962.
- Chinh, D. T., Dung, N. V., Gain, A. K., and Kreibich, H. (2017). Flood loss models and risk analysis for private households in can Tho City, Vietnam. *Water*, 9(5). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9050313>.
- Coto, E. B. (2002). *Flood Hazard, Vulnerability and Risk Assessment in the City of Turrialba, Costa Rica*, 84. Vulnerability analysis, pp. 45-57. Available at: http://www.itc.nl/library/Papers/msc_2002/ereg/badilla_coto.pdf
- Diakakis M., Deligiannakis, G., Katsetsiadou, K., Antoniadis Z. and Melaki, M. (2017). Mapping and classification of direct flood impacts in the complex conditions of an urban environment. The case study of the 2014 flood in Athens, Greece. *Urban Water Journal*, No 10, pp. 1065-1074.

- Djordjević, S. (2014). CORFU - Collaborative research on flood resilience in urban areas, (June), p. 45. Available at: www.corfu7.eu.
- Dutta, D., Herath, S. and Musiame, K. (2003). A mathematical model for flood loss estimation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 277(1- 2), pp. 24–49. doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00084-2.
- Dutta, D. and Herath, S. (2003). GIS based flood loss estimation modeling in Japan. *Journal of Hydrology*, 277(1–2), pp. 24–49.
- Emergency Management Australia (2002). *Disaster Loss Assessment Guidelines*. Emergency Management Australia - Manual 27, pp. 55-60.
- FEMA, 2008. *Reducing Flood Losses Through the International Codes: Meeting the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program*, FEMA 9-0372, Third Edition. Washington, DC, December 2007.
- Genovese, E. (2006). *A Methodological Approach to Land Use-Based Flood Damage Assessment in Urban Areas: Prague Case Study*. Scientific and technical research series; ISSN 1018-5593, pp. 3-33.
- Halsnæs, K. and Kaspersen, P. S. (2014). *Risikovurdering for Oversvømmelser i Byer*, 21(3), pp. 106-111.
- Hammond, M. J., Chen, A. S., Djordjević, S., Butler, D. and Mark, O. (2015). Urban flood impact assessment: A state of-the-art review, *Urban Water Journal*, 12(1), pp. 14–29. doi: 10.1080/1573062X.2013.857421.
- Handmer, J., Reed, C. and Percovich, O. (2002). *Disaster Loss Assessment: Guidelines, Assessment*. 27, pp. 10-18.

- Herath, S. (2003). Flood damage estimation of an urban catchment using remote sensing and GIS. International training program on *Total Disaster Risk Management*, pp. 51–60.
- Kasanko, M., J. I. Barredo, C. Lavalle, N. McCormick, L. Demicheli, V. Sagris, and A. Brezger. 2006. Are European cities becoming dispersed? A comparative analysis of 15 European urban areas. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 77: pp. 111–130.
- Leandro, R. (1993). Seleccin de medidas para control de inundaciones: curvas de danos. Degree thesis, School of Civil Engineering, University of Costa Rica, San Jose, Costa Rica.
- Lehman, W. and Hasanzadeh Nafari, R. (2016). An Empirical, Functional Approach to Depth Damages. E3S web of conferences. 7. 05002. 10.1051/e3sconf/20160705002.
- Mallak, S. K. and Ishak, M. B. (2012). Waste Minimization as Sustainable Waste Management Strategy for Malaysian Industries. UMT 11th International Annual Symposium on Sustainability, Science and Management, 2018(July), pp. 1245–1252.
- Merz, B., Kreibich, H., Schwarze, R. and Thieken, (2010). Review article assessment of economic flood damage, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10, 1697–1724, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-1697- 2010, 2010a.
- Merz, B., Thieken, A. H. and Kreibich, H. (2011). Flood Risk Assessment and Management: How to specify hydrological loads, their consequences and uncertainties, pp. 229–247. doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-9917-4.
- Meyer, V., Becker, N., Markantonis, V., Schwarze, R., van den Bergh, J. C. J. M., Bouwer, L. M., Bubeck, P., Ciavola, P., Genovese, E., Green, C., Hallegatte, S.,

- Kreibich, H., Lequeux, Q., Logar, I., Papyrakis, E., Pfurtscheller, C., Poussin, J., Przyluski, V., Thieken, A. H., and Viavattene, C. (2013). Review article: Assessing the costs of natural hazards – state of the art and knowledge gaps, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, pp. 1351–1373, doi:10.5194/nhess-13-1351.
- Meyer, V., Scheuer, S. and Haase, D. (2009). A multicriteria approach for flood risk mapping exemplified at the Mulde river, Germany, *Natural Hazards*, 48(1), pp. 17–39. doi: 10.1007/s11069-008-9244-4.
- Olesen, L., Löwe, R., and Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K. (2017). *Flood Damage Assessment* - literature review and recommended procedure. Available at: <https://watersensitivecities.org.au/content/flood-damage-assessment-literature-review-recommended-procedure/>.
- Oliveri, E. and Santoro, M. (2000). Estimation of urban structural flood damages: the case study of Palermo, *Urban Water J.*, 2, 223–234, doi:10.1016/s1462-0758(00)00062-5.
- Penning-Rowsell, E. C., Haigh, N., Lavery, S. and McFadden, L. (2013). A threatened world city: The benefits of protecting London from the sea, *Natural Hazards*, 66(3), pp. 1383–1404. doi: 10.1007/s11069-011-0075-3.
- Pistrika, A., Tsakiris, G. and Nalbantis, I. Flood depth-damage functions for built environment. *Environ. Process.* (2014). 1: 553. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40710-014-0038-2>.
- Plate, E. J. (2002). Flood risk and flood management. *Journal of Hydrology* 267(1-2): pp. 2-11.
- Romali, N.S., Sulaiman, M.K., Zulkifli, Y. and Ismail. Z. (2015). Flood Damage Assessment: A Review of Flood Stage–Damage Function Curve. Springer Science+Business Media Singapore 2015.

- Shelly W., Win Win Z., Akiyuki K., San, Z.M.L.T. (2018). Establishment of flood damage function models: A case study in the Bago River Basin, Myanmar, International. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. pp. 3-10. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdr.2018.01.030
- Smith, D. I., (1994). Flood damage estimation – A review of urban stage damage curves and loss functions, *Water SA*, 20(3), pp. 231–238.
- Teng, J., Jakeman, A.J., Vaze, J., Croke, B.F.W., Dutta, D., Kim, S. (2017). Flood inundation modelling: A review of methods, recent advances and uncertainty analysis. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 90, pp. 201–216.
- Zhou, Q., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Mikkelsen, P. S., Nielsen, S. B., & Halsnæs, K. (2012). Urban drainage design and climate change adaptation decision making. Kgs. Lyngby: DTU Environment.
- Zhou, Q. (2014). A review of sustainable urban drainage systems considering the climate change and urbanization impacts, *Water* 2014, 6(4), 976-992; Available at : <https://doi.org/10.3390/w6040976>.